

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/973,332

Applicant(s)

DEVORE ET AL.

Examiner

Maury Audet

Art Unit

1654

All Participants:

(1) Maury Audet.

(2) Steve Holmes, Attorney for Applicant.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 15 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

NA

Claims discussed:

All.

Prior art documents discussed:

Related pending applications.


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: An Examiner's Amendment to claims 1 and 26 was proposed to Attorney, which was accepted. Additionally, the Examiner noted that provisional non-statutory obvious-type double-patenting rejections over related co-pending applications 09/973,263 and 09/973,385 were inadvertently omitted in the Office Action of 05/06/2003. Attorney agreed to Fax Terminal Disclaimers over both pending applications.